UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,029	10/31/2003	Wassim Haddad	1509-470	7140
22879 7590 03/19/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			HSU, ALPUS	
	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,029	HADDAD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alpus H. Hsu	2619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2007.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12,15 and 17-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12,15 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2619

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 26 and 27 are directed to a machine readable medium comprising instructions stored thereon, which do not fall into any category of the statutory subject matter.

3. Claims 15 and 17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claims 15 and 17 are directed to a server which depend on claim 12, which is directed to a system, and therefore fail to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim since the server is included within the communication system.

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 12, 15, 17, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The newly added claim limitation of having "the mobile user sending data of use of the service to the home server in a third SIP MESSAGE" as in claims 1, 12 and 26, contains subject matter which was not described in the specification and therefore constitutes a new matter.

Art Unit: 2619

To be more specific, according to the original specification disclosure, it is the **foreign server** that sends the SIP MESSAGE including the data of use of the service by the mobile user to the home server for the billing or accounting purpose. Nowhere in the specification discloses that the mobile user initiating the sending of data of use of the service to the home server in a third SIP MESSAGE as newly claimed.

5. Claims 3-5, 8, 17, 18, 21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of claims 3, 8, 17 and 21, it is confusing for reciting "a second SIP MESSAGE" or "a third SIP MESSAGE" since the same second SIP MESSAGE or third SIP MESSAGE has been recited in the independent claim each depends on.

In claim 18, line 7, claim 25, line 7, each term of "a message SIP MESSAGE" should read as -- a SIP MESSAGE --.

In claim 24, line 2, it is confusing for reciting "a home server" since the same home server is recited in claim 12, it depends on.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 12, 18-22, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by BORELLA (of record).

Art Unit: 2619

Referring to claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9, 12-14, 16, 18-22, 24-27, BORELLA discloses a method, a server, a system, and a computer readable medium encoded with a computer program, when executed by a computer, for managing use of a service by a mobile user in a foreign wireless network in which the user registers via a foreign server in the foreign network and the foreign server, in response to a request for access to a service by a mobile user, sends to a home server in the user's home network the data of use of the service by the mobile user to the home server for the billing or accounting purpose, utilizing Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) MESSAGES in accordance with the Session Initiation Protocol (see col. 6, lines 10-29, col. 9, line44 to col. 10. line 22, col. 10, line 33 to col. 11, line 29, col. 11, line 37 to col. 14, line 20, col. 16, line 53 to col. 17, line 59).

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,029

Page 5

Art Unit: 2619

10. Claims 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BORELLA in view of O"NEILL (both of records).

Referring to claims 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17 and 23, BORELLA differs from the claims, in that, it does not disclose the feature of utilizing databases for storing user profiles and access authorization information, which is well known in the art and commonly used in wireless communications field for network security purpose.

O'NEILL, for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the use of plural databases for storing user profiles and access authorization information (see col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 49), which can be easily adopted by one of ordinary skill in the art into the method, server, system, and computer readable medium, to provide network security to further improve the system integrity and reliability.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Das et al., Agrawal et al., Borella et al. '151, Sethi, Baba et al., and Ohki are additionally cited to show the common feature of wireless network utilizing Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) MESSAGES in accordance with the Session Initiation Protocol similar to the claimed invention.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2619

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,029

Page 7

Art Unit: 2619